# **Equality Impact Assessment**

# PART A Introductory Information

Proposal name

Kelham and Neepsend parking scheme

Number: 2236

#### Brief aim(s) of the proposal and the outcome(s) you want to achieve

There are high demands on the available parking spaces in many areas of the city. The Kelham and Neepsend area is no different and is very popular for long stay commuter parking because of its close proximity (within a 20min walk) to the city centre and also because parking is free and unrestricted.

Parking pressure is anticipated to continue to grow as the area is developed. There are planned to be around an additional 2,000 new homes developed in Kelham over the next 10-15 years.

The Council has previously implemented a number of Controlled Parking Zones (CPZs), mainly in the area immediately around the city centre as well as in the district shopping centre at Hillsborough. These were areas which suffered from the effects of high levels of unrestricted commuter parking.

There is also a need to introduce restrictions that complement the change of use of sections of roads in the area through the Transforming Cities Housing Zone North scheme. This includes 'no through roads', bus priority and revisions to 'one-way' sections. In total, the parking capacity in the area will be reduced from over 760 spaces to around 480.

The council proposes to introduce a parking scheme in Kelham and Neepsend that would operate Monday to Sunday between the hours of 8.00am and 8.30pm. Initially this would be pay and display in Kelham only but could extend to Neepsend once more work has been undertaken with local businesses.

The marked bays would allow for shared use pay & display and permit holder parking. All other sections of the road that are not marked up for parking will have a no waiting at any time restriction (i.e. double yellow lines). Residents who do not live in a car free development will be able to apply for up to two resident parking permits per household. Businesses will also be able apply for up to two businesses parking permits.

Much of the area in and around the City Centre is already covered by CPZs, with the implementation of further parking schemes (that include similar restrictions to CPZs, but are signed in a different way) being underway or planned and which are required to support the Transport Strategy and Emerging Draft Sheffield Plan.

Parking schemes form part of Sheffield's 2018 Local Transport Strategy (adopted in 2019) and Emerging Draft Sheffield Plan. The vision for the city requires more effective management of parking and use of kerbside space. In managing this, the aim is to maintain good access to homes and businesses and try to reduce the amount of avoidable congestion from traffic circulating seeking a parking space.

High levels of parking can also restrict the access for service vehicles and emergency services, as well as parking for business customers and visitors.

In deciding whether to implement the proposals proper consideration must be given to any representations, to the original objectives behind the proposals, to the financial and legal implications and to the Equalities Impact Assessment. This EIA has therefore been prepared to assess the impact of **Rage** on the needs and requirements of

the community and determine whether these affect or discriminate directly or indirectly against people from some racial groups, sexuality, gender, age, faith or belief or disability etc. Proposal type Budget Non-Budget If Budget, is it Entered on Q Tier? ○ Yes If yes what is the Q Tier reference Year of proposal (s) 0  $\overline{\mathsf{O}}$ O other 21/22 22/23 23/24 24/25 **Decision Type** Coop Exec Committee (e.g., Health Committee) which committee Leader O Individual Coop Exec Member Executive Director/Director Officer Decisions (Non-Key) O Council (e.g., Budget and Housing Revenue Account) O Regulatory Committees (e.g. Licensing Committee) **Lead Committee Member** Cllr Ben Miskell **Lead Director for Proposal** Richard Eyre Person filling in this EIA form David Whitley **EIA** start date 20/06/2023 **Equality Lead Officer**  Adele Robinson Ed Sexton Richard Bartlett Louise Nunn O Beverley Law Bashir Khan Lead Equality Objective (see for detail) Understanding Workforce Leading the city Break the cycle Communities Diversity in celebrating & and improve life promoting chances inclusion

Page 204

### Portfolio, Service and Team

Is this Cross-	Portfolio?	Portfolio/s	
○ Yes • No		Operational	
Is the EIA join	ıt with another o	organisation (e.g. NHS)?	
○ Yes	<ul><li>No</li></ul>	Please specify	

### Consultation

ls	consultation i	required?	(Read the	guidance	in relation	to this	area)
$\cap$	Voc	■ No					

If consultation is not required, please state why

The Council has carried out formal consultation with the local community on proposals to introduce a parking scheme in the Kelham and Neepsend area.

# If consultation has already been carried out, please provide details of the results with equalities analysis

The statutory legal consultation began on the 1st February 2022 and concluded on the 24th February 2022. The parking scheme proposal were advertised in the local press, street notices were put up throughout each affected area and letters were delivered to all affected properties inviting residents to comment on the proposals. The Cabinet Member for Transport and Development, local Ward Members, and Statutory Consultees have been informed about the proposals.

Equalities data is available from responses received (666) via the Citizen Space portal, but not for responses received by email (39). It should be noted that this consultation is not a demographically robust random sampling of public opinion, nor was it designed to specifically request feedback on the impact of the parking scheme on groups sharing protected characteristics. Respondents have freely chosen to take part, or not, so the views expressed through Citizen Space don't necessarily represent the views of everyone.

The following information provides details of the available equalities data of those providing feedback in relation to the Kelham and Neepsend parking scheme proposals, and whether they support the scheme or not:

Age Range	Percentage of	Percentage Support the Scheme	
	Respondents	Yes	No
24 and under	12%	13%	87%
25-34	44%	18%	82%
35-44	20%	24%	76%
45-54	10%	32%	68%
55-64	9%	35%	65%
65-74	4%	28%	72%
75-84	<1%	100%	0%
85 and over	0%	-	-
Not Answered	Page 205	-	-

Disability or a Long-term Health Condition	Percentage of Respondents  Percentage Sup		• •
Condition	Respondents	Yes	No
Yes	13%	14%	86%
No	87%	23%	77%
Not Answered	1%	-	-

Sex	Percentage of	Percentage Support the Scheme	
	Respondents	Yes	No
Male	55%	22%	78%
Female	43%	23%	77%
Other	1%	20%	80%
Not answered	2%	-	-

Overall, it can be seen that the majority of respondents did not support the scheme, whether they belong to a protected group or not. The concerns of the objectors were predominantly (76%) spread across three main categories, namely:

- Personal affordability;
- · Harmful to businesses; and
- Accessing permits (typically relating to 'Car Free' developments).

#### Personal Affordability

In common with other highway authorities, the Council applies a fixed tariff that does not distinguish between a person's ability to afford the charges. Whilst this means that requiring to park in the parking scheme during its operational periods would be proportionally less affordable to those on low income, it would be disproportionate in terms of cost and complexity to operate any other method (e.g. a means-based cost).

#### Harmful to Business

Some people (residents, visitors and businesses) said the scheme will prevent delivery and business vehicles from unloading/loading; and will deter customers as one of the attractions to the area is because it's free to park. Unloading/loading could be undertaken on double yellow lines proposed within the scheme. Improving the unloading/loading opportunities for businesses was a key aim of the scheme. The scheme aims to mitigate the concern relating to customers in part by having a short (20 minute) free period. A ticket would still have to be displayed, but this free short stay period could help local businesses that rely on short stay passing trade. Also, parking schemes can discourage commuter parking and other long-stay parking, so there are more likely to be parking spaces for customers to park.

The current parking strategy (which includes a scheme design standard) defines the bay types, but it is proposed to look further at ways to reduce the impact of the scheme on businesses – particularly in Neepsend where business is the predominant land use. These include:

- Being more flexible in the provision of business permits;
- Reducing the scale of the pay and display scheme or changes to days and times
  of the week of the pay and display scheme;
- Working with the South Yorkshire Mayoral Combined Authority (MCA) to understand the feasibility of providing a Public Transport Season Ticket Trial for employees in the area; and
- Expanding the existing E-bike/E-cargo bike hire trial.

Also, having considered the objections, an amendment has been to the scheme in the short term. It is proposed to initially introduce pay and display (P&D) parking in Kelham Island, and not in Neerage at the stime due to a desire to undertake

additional work with businesses and their employees to see how the effects of the originally proposed scheme could be mitigated. Accessing permits (typically relating to 'Car Free' developments) The Council has a number of policies which have the effect of managing parking demand. One mechanism to do this is by restricting access to parking permits for on street spaces from occupiers of new developments which are designated as carfree during the planning process and where the implications of that development are assessed to have an adverse impact on parking demand. It is one of a suite of measures which also have the effect of reducing car use and encourage travel by other means, including walking, cycling and public transport. This use of car free developments and their entitlement to permits was confirmed at the Transport, Regeneration and Climate Change Committee in December 2022. The Council sometimes consider applications for additional permits, but allowing unlimited access to permits would cut across the Council's Transport and Clean Air Strategies. Furthermore, new residents moving in should have been made aware of the designation of car/permit-free status (as detailed in the planning permission decision notice) through the conveyancing process if purchasing a property, or within the lease if renting. However, residents may still be able to apply for carer, visitor and disabled badge holder permits. The proposed Kelham Island and Neepsend parking scheme is expected to: Provide some improvement for local businesses and residents by helping to manage the availability of convenient parking spaces through charging mechanisms and issuing permits. It is acknowledged that there could be an impact from potential decreased car-user customers However, the changes proposed in tandem with the proposed Transforming Cities Fund project are expected to increase the appeal of the area with improvements to other travel/access options (bus/cycling/walking) which will help to reduce the impact of decreased customer car usage. Improve access through the area and loading and unloading opportunities for all vehicles (especially larger ones) by removing parking at or near junctions; and

•	Improve conditions for sustainable travel modes – the Kelham/Neepsend
	parking scheme includes restrictions that enable improved facilities for walking
	and cycling, as well as ensuring that public transport is not impeded by
	inappropriate parking.

Are Staff who n  ● Yes	nay be affected by these proposals aware of them?  No
Are Customers  ● Yes	who may be affected by these proposals aware of them?  O No
If you have said	d no to either please say why

## **Initial Impact**

Under the Public Sector Equality Duty we have to pay due regard to the need to:

- eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation
- advance equality of opportunity

foster good relations

For a range of people who share protected characteristics, more information is available on the <u>Council website</u> including the <u>Community Knowledge Profiles</u>.

### **Identify Impacts**

#### Identify which characteristic the proposal has an impact on tick all that apply

Health	○ Transgender
● Age	<ul><li>Carers</li></ul>
<ul><li>Disability</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Voluntary/Community &amp; Faith Sectors</li> </ul>
<ul><li>Pregnancy/Maternity</li></ul>	O Cohesion
○ Race	○ Partners
O Religion/Belief	Poverty & Financial Inclusion
○ Sex	O Armed Forces
Sexual Orientation	O Other
<ul><li>Cumulative</li></ul>	

Cumulative Impact		
Does the Proposal have a  ○ Yes	a cumulative impact?	
O Year on Year	Across a Community of Identity/Interest	
O Geographical Area	O Other	
If yes, details of impact  Local Area Committee Ar  ○ All ○ Spec	• • •	
If Specific, name of Local C	Committee Area(s) impacted	
333. 23		

#### **Initial Impact Overview**

# Based on the information about the proposal what will be the overall equality impact?

A screening exercise has been undertaken to record the Initial Impact Assessment. The screening considers the individual groups with protected characteristics and how the Kelham and Neepsend parking scheme may affect them. A 'score' has been assigned to each of the relevant groups. Provisional scoring criteria used is set out below:

- A Major Positive or Major Negative score would be given where the scheme is likely to have a disproportionate effect on large numbers of the relevant group;
- A Minor Positive or Mino Phegating 8 core has been given where the

scheme is only likely to affect small numbers of the relevant groups; and

• A **Neutral** score has been given where there is no clear relationship between the scheme and the relevant group.

The Kelham and Neepsend parking scheme is aimed at maintaining good access to homes and businesses and try to reduce the amount of avoidable congestion from traffic circulating seeking a parking space. The underpinning analysis has focussed on a sub-area that reflects the parking area of the scheme proposals. The sub-area is based on two MSOAs being used to represent the demographic characteristics, namely:

- E02001632 (Burngreave & Grimesthorpe); and
- E02006843 (Cathedral & Kelham).

The Initial Impact Assessment screening is shown below (Impact Level) alongside the Full Impact Assessment (Full Impact Reasoning).

Characteristic	Impact Level	Full Impact Reasoning
Health (health inequalities)	Major Positive	Sheffield aspires to be a city where health
		inequalities are reduced, and air is
		healthy for all to breathe <sup>1</sup> .
		Air pollution can have a negative impact on the health of all Sheffield's residents. The adverse effects range from worsening respiratory symptoms and poorer quality of life to premature deaths from cardiovascular
		and respiratory diseases <sup>1</sup> .
		Transport is the biggest source of emissions damaging to health in Sheffield - around half of emissions (nitrogen oxides and particulate matter) come from road transport <sup>1</sup> .
		These pollutants are collectively estimated to cause around 500 equivalent deaths every year in Sheffield and impose an economic cost somewhere between £160 million per year <sup>1</sup> .
		While this is expected to reduce over time due to an increasing proportion of cleaner vehicles in Sheffield, evidence from the World Health Organization (WHO) <sup>2</sup> suggests that exposure to nitrogen oxide concentrations is associated with adverse health effects even when it's below the UK limit values.
		The communities suffering most from poor air quality are often the most vulnerable. Air pollution contributes to widening health inequalities as levels of emissions are higher on roads with the heaviest traffic which are used more by disadvantaged people as places where they live, work and shop <sup>3</sup>
		Parking schemes remove free on-street commuter and other non-residential car parking spaces, thereby reducing traffic levels, and helping boost use of non-car modes. They also help to reduce overall traffic, improve traffic flow and tackle congestion.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Air Quality Action Plan: Sheffield City Council (2015)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Review of Evidence on Health Aspects of Air Poll (Page 4)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Transport & health: Briefing statement: UK Faculty of Public Health (2013)

		The introduction of this par largely result in the reduction emissions in the Kelham and will therefore, have a bealth. This could also help the traffic travels through in neighbourhoods which have pollution.	on of transport d Neepsend area eneficial effect on other areas that ncluding those
<b>Age</b> (a person belonging to a particular age or range of ages)	Neutral	The following table shows t ages across the Kelham and and the wider Sheffield area	d Neepsend area,
		Age Catchment Group Area	Sheffield
		0-15 17.1% 16-24 30.4% 25-64 47.8%	18.0% 14.8% 50.2%
		Census 2021  It can be seen from the tab	
		are some differences in the age groups based on location.  The proportion of children.	on, namely:
		slightly lower than acro whole;  The proportion of young within the catchment are higher than across the same and the same are the same	ss Sheffield as a ger people (16-24) ea is significantly
		area and therefore their considered;  The proportion of peopl (25-64) is slightly lower Sheffield as a whole; are the proportion of older significantly lower in the	needs should be e of working age in than across id people (65+) is
		than across the wider S  There is no age data availal which group is parking with Neepsend area.	heffield area. ole to determine
		Children and young people 17 who do not drive will no impacted by the proposals.	
		The Kelham and Neepsend large student population wh residence or private accomplication car free status, this coupled low car ownership suggests over the age of 17, won't be disproportionately affected.	no live in halls of modation that has I with their likely younger people e
		Those people of working ag drive to work and choose to may be more impacted that This is more likely in Neeps Having considered the obje amendments have been to short term. It is proposed to pay and display (P&D) park Island, and not in Neepsend to a desire to undertake ad businesses and their emplo the effects of the originally could be mitigated.	p park in the area of other car users. end than Kelham. ctions, the scheme in the of initially introduce ing in Kelham dat this time due ditional work with yees to see how
		Older people may have less to be able to pay the parkir visiting the area, but they of free bus travel. Issues relat considered under that user	g charges when lo have access to ing to disability are
	Page 21	he parking scheme will red	luce commuter

parking, inconsiderate and indiscriminate parking from residential streets which collectively are expected to help improve the street scene and can make streets safer and more accessible for all road users including younger people.

**Disability** (covers various impairments that effect a person's ability to carry out normal day-to-day tasks)

Minor Positive

The following table shows the breakdown of activity limitation due to long term health problems or disability across both the Kelham and Neepsend area, and the wider Sheffield area.

Limitation	Catchment Area	Sheffield
Day-to-day activities limited	11.8%	18.8%
Day-to-day activities not limited	88.2%	81.2%

Census 2011

It can be seen from the table above that the proportion of individuals living in the Kelham and Neepsend parking scheme area suffering with a long-term health problem or disability that limits their day-to-day activities is lower than in the wider Sheffield area.

Although this groups population is lower than in the wider Sheffield area it is worth noting that people with disabilities or long-term health conditions can face numerous barriers relating to travel. This could include specific travel requirements, limited mobility, and issues around accessibility with the fear of not being able to navigate areas where there is indiscriminate parking.

Parking schemes can reduce commuter parking, inconsiderate and indiscriminate parking from residential streets which collectively are expected to help improve the street scene and can make streets safer and more accessible for all road users including people with disabilities (also see Health section).

The specific operational measures that will be in place to support people with disabilities will be identified as the scheme progresses, however, it is expected that they will likely include the following:

- Blue badge parking bays will be reserved for the use of Blue Badge holders;
- Blue Badge holders will be able to apply for a disabled parking space near their home;
- Blue Badge holders will be able to park without time limit in any parking bay.
   Provided the vehicle does not cause an obstruction, they can also park for up to 3 hours on yellow lines, where there are no loading restrictions in place; and
- Residents will be able to apply for up to 150 visitor parking permits per year which will be able to be used by their visitors to

Page 21 Help them park.

Pregnancy/Maternity (a person being pregnant or on maternity leave in the employment context)	Minor Positive	Exposure to poor air quality and pollutants can affect foetal development and cause low birth weights, premature births at well as stillbirths and miscarriages; sometimes having long-lasting effects on the health of the baby <sup>4</sup> .  Parking schemes remove free on-street commuter and other non-residential car parking spaces, thereby reducing traffic levels, and helping boost use of non-car modes. They also help to reduce overall traffic, improve traffic flow and tackle congestion.  The introduction of this parking scheme will largely result in the reduction of transport emissions in the Kelham and Neepsend area and will therefore, have a beneficial effect on exposure to poor air quality and pollutants. This could also help other areas that the traffic travels through including those neighbourhoods which have elevated air pollution.
Race (includes ethnicity, nationality, and colour)	Neutral	The proposals are not expected to have any specific impact on the Race group. Issues relating to religion/belief are considered under that user group.
Religion/Belief (any religion/belief, including a lack of religion/belief)	Neutral	The proposals are not expected to have any specific impact on specific Religions or Beliefs. Issues relating to race and faith sectors are considered under those user groups.
Sex (applies to men and women of any age)	Neutral	The proposals are not expected to have any specific impact on Sex.
Sexual Orientation (whether a person's sexual attraction is towards their own sex, the opposite sex or both sexes)	Neutral	The proposals are not expected to have any specific impact on Sexual Orientation.
Transgender (term for people who understand or express their gender differently from what society expects of the sex they were assigned at birth)	Neutral	The proposals are not expected to have any specific impact on the Transgender group.
Carers (people who provide care on an unpaid basis for an older or disabled adult or a disabled child)	Minor Positive	The minor positive impact of the scheme on disabled people can potentially also support unpaid carers in making it easier for them to provide the necessary support.  Also, where essential care is being provided residents can apply for a resident's carer permit which allows their carer to use on street parking bays, without a time limit,
	Noutral	while they're providing care.
Voluntary/Community & Faith Sectors	Neutral	There are two places of worship listed in the Council's address database, namely: Potters House Christian Fellowship located on Burton Road in Neepsend, and City Life Christian Church located on South Parade in Kelham.
		There is likely to be a perceived negative impact on places of worship as a result of introducing the parking scheme. However, the scheme is expected to assist in ensuring a turnover of spaces thereby improving the availability for all visitors, including churchgoers.
		The City Life Christian Church has a private

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{\text{Page 212}}{\text{$^4$ Position statement Outdoor Air Pollution and Pregnancy in the UK: RCOG (2021)}}$ 

car park with a capacity of around 20 spaces. Blue Badge holders will be able to park without time limit in any parking bay. Provided the vehicle does not cause an obstruction, they can also park for up to 3 hours on yellow lines, where there are no loading restrictions in place. The churches are well served by a number of bus routes. Visitors who own Ultra Low Emission Vehicles (ULEVs) will be able to apply for a green parking permit which will allow them to park in the vicinity of the church for free. Also, on Sundays there is proposed to be a flat £2 all-day rate rather than an hourly charge. Overall, the proposals are not expected to disproportionately impact on Faith Sectors. Issues relating to race would be under that user group. The proposals are not expected to have any specific impact on other voluntary or community sectors. Cohesion (recognising, Neutral The proposals are not expected to have any supporting and respecting specific impact on Cohesion. diversity) **Partners** Neutral During the consultation businesses said the scheme will prevent delivery and business vehicles from unloading/loading; and will deter customers as one of the attractions to the area is because it's free to park. Unloading/loading could be undertaken on double yellow lines proposed within the scheme. Improving the unloading/loading opportunities for businesses was a key aim of the scheme. The scheme aims to mitigate the concern relating to customers in part by having a short (20 minute) free period. A ticket would still have to be displayed, but this free short stay period could help local businesses that rely on short stay passing trade. Also, parking zones can discourage commuter parking and other long-stay parking, so there are more parking spaces for customers to park. The current parking strategy (which includes a scheme design standard) defines the bay types, but it is proposed to look further at ways to reduce the impact of the scheme on businesses. These include: Being more flexible in the provision of business permits; Reducing the scale of the pay and display scheme or changes to days and times of the week of the pay and display scheme; Working with the South Yorkshire Mayoral Combined Authority (MCA) to understand the feasibility of providing a Public Transport Season Ticket Trial for employees in the area; and Expanding the existing E-bike/E-cargo bike hire trial. Also, having considered the objections, an amendment has been to the scheme in the short term. It is proposed to initially introduce and display (P&D) parking in Kelham Island, and not in Neepsend at this time due Page

Poverty & Financial Inclusion	Minor Negative	to a desire to undertake additional work with businesses and their employees to see how the effects of the originally proposed scheme could be mitigated.  The introduction of parking charges for parking on-street within the parking scheme area is likely to have a negative impact on people on low incomes or who are from financially excluded backgrounds.  Equalities data is not available exclusively for existing users of on-street spaces within the proposed Kelham and Neepsend parking area, but it is expected that they could come from any area within the City and elsewhere. Also, residents in any property (excluding car free developments) in the proposed parking scheme who keep and use a vehicle will be eligible for residential and visitor permits. Therefore, parking scheme and city-wide area data has been used for the purpose of evaluating the impact on Poverty & Financial Inclusion.  The last indices of multiple deprivation in 2019 provides the most up to date indication
		that deprived areas include a higher proportion of low income households) in the immediate parking scheme areas and the wider Sheffield area. This found that nearly a quarter of Sheffield's LSOAS are in the most deprived 10% nationally. However, in these areas only around 50% have access to a car. In the immediate vicinity of the scheme (Burngreave & Grimesthorpe and Cathedral & Kelham) the rank of average deprivation scores range from 16th to 236th most deprived out of a total of 345 within the wider Sheffield area with only 41% having access to a car. This is likely to be partly due to the high student population within the Kelham and Neepsend area along with the Burngreave & Grimesthorpe MSOA being in the bottom income quintile being linked to a lack of access to a car.  This would indicate that whilst users from the most deprived areas will likely be impacted
		most deprived areas will likely be impacted more than those on higher incomes, they have low levels of car ownership per household and the scheme should not bring about a disproportionate impact on low-income households.  Also, if the Kelham and Neepsend area parking scheme is effective at limiting demand for driving, the introduction of the parking scheme can contribute to alleviating problems of health inequality (see Health section).
Armed Forces	Neutral	The proposals are not expected to have any specific impact on the Armed Forces.

Is a Full impact Assessment required at this stage? ● Yes

If the impact is more than minor, in that it will impact on a particular protected characteristic you must complete a full impact assessment below.

O No

# What actions will you take to mitigate any equality impacts identified? Please include an Action Plan with timescales

Having considered the objections, an amendment has been made to the scheme in the short term. It is proposed to initially introduce pay and display (P&D) parking in Kelham Island, and not in Neepsend at this time due to a desire to undertake additional work with businesses and their employees to see how the effects of the originally proposed scheme could be mitigated.

Overall, the screening and assessment of equality impacts of the Kelham and Neepsend parking Scheme is only likely to result in a minor negative equality impact for the Poverty and Financial Inclusion group. Therefore, no additional mitigation measures are proposed.

This EIA will be reviewed and updated as the scheme progresses.

**Supporting Evidence** (Please detail all your evidence used to support the EIA)

The evidence used is described above within the relevant sections of the EIA.

Sign Off – Part A (EIA Lead to complete)			
EIAs must be agreed and signed off by the Equality lead Officer in your Portfolio or corporately. Has this been signed off?			
● Yes	○ No		
Date agreed	DD/MM/YYYY		
Name of EIA lead officer Ed Sexton			

**Review Date** 19/07/2023

This page is intentionally left blank